UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,752	09/26/2006	Akiho Yokota	2006_1303A	3250
	7590 08/03/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			PAGE, BRENT T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/591,752	YOKOTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRENT PAGE	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-17 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group III, claims 1 and 6-17 and SEQ ID NO:6 encoding SEQ ID NO:5 in the reply filed on 03/31/2009 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, the Restriction Requirement is made FINAL. Claims 1 and 6-17 are pending and examined herein on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph indefinite

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the original one" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no mention of an original plant in any of the preceding claims, and therefore no comparative basis for the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the wild variety" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no mention of a wild variety in any of the preceding claims, and therefore no comparative basis for the claim. The rejection to claim 17 would be obviated by inserting ---of said plant--- immediately after "the wild variety". Avoid adding New Matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokota et al (EP1036842, provided by Applicant, published 9/20/2000), in view of Maliga et al (US Patent 5877402), in view of Palatnik et al (US patent 6781034, filed 10/24/2001) and further, in view of Gegenbach et al (US Patent 6146867).

The claims are drawn to a vector comprising a gene encoding a protein having FBPase and/or SBPase activities between a Rubisco large subunit gene and an acetyl CoA carboxylase subunit gene, wherein the gene encoding a protein having at least FBPase activity wherein the protein has at least 60% or more homology to SEQ ID NO: 5, or wherein the nucleic acid encoding the protein has at least 60% homology to SEQ ID NO:6, wherein the vector has a

Application/Control Number: 10/591,752

Art Unit: 1638

ribosome-binding site upstream of a translation initiation point and a terminator downstream, wherein Rubisco and acetyl Co-A carboxylase are dervied from tobacco, a recombinant gene vector comprising said vector, a transformed chloroplast comprising said vector and a plant comprising said chloroplasts.

Yokota et al teach the transformation of tobacco with a construct comprising a gene encoding cynobacterial fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase/sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase, for expression in the chloroplasts of tobacco, wherein the nucleic acid sequence for encoding cynobacterial fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase/sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:6 an encodes SEQ ID NO:5 of the present invention, wherein a terminator is located downstream of the translation initiation site (see figure 1 for example) and wherein the resultant peptide is targeted to the chloroplast and wherein photosynthesis is increased 1.74 times relative to non-transformed plants (see pages 1-4 of the specification, particularly lines 54-56 of page 2 relating to targeting the protein to the chloroplasts and lines 10-14 of page 4 relating to the increased photosynthesis).

Yokota et al do not teach a ribosome binding site upstream of the translation initiation site, nor does Yokota et al teach Rubsico and Acetyl Co-A carboxylase encoding DNA sequences as part of the transformation vector.

Maliga et al teach the transformation of tobacco chloroplasts with a gene of interest located between the large subunit of Rubisco and Acetyl-CoA carboxylase, with a ribosome binding site upstream and a terminator downstream, wherein the ribosome binding site and terminator is from tobacco

(see claims 1-6, wherein the rbcL gene encodes the large subunit of Rubisco and the accD gene encodes Acetyl-CoA carboxylase, as understood in the art, and also 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph under "Summary of Invention" where it states "The 5' unstranslated region comprises a DNA sequence that encodes a ribosome binding site", and Figures, for the constructs including the engineered 3' end terminators). Maliga et al also teach that the transformation of chloroplasts using their method increases protein production and activity (see 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph under "Discussion" for example).

Given the state of the art, the disclosures by Maliga et al and Yokota et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method taught by Maliga et al to target the transformation of the cyanobacterial fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase/sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase taught by Yokota et al to chloroplasts to increase photsynthesis with greater efficiency as suggested by Maliga et al. All of the claimed vector elements are taught by Maliga et al. The gene of interest and the effect of photosynthesis is taught by Yokota et al. It was known in the art at the time of filing that transforming chloroplasts as taught by Maluiga et al would increase the transcripts of the gene of interest which would be expected to increase the level of photsynthesis of the plant consistent with the findings of Yokota et al.

No claims are free of the prior art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRENT PAGE whose telephone number is (571)272-5914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571)-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brent T Page

/Anne Marie Grunberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1638